

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,960	11/25/2003	Stephen Doncov	5362-451/COD	5940	
27572	7590 04/13/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HARNESS, P.O. BOX 828	DICKEY & PIERCE,	PEDDER, DENNIS H			
BLOOMFIEL	D HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3612		

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	9			
		10/721,960	DONCOV ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dennis H. Pedder	3612				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
		action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) 5-9 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,10-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. or election requirement. or.					
10)[	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Extra control of the correct to be the Extra control of the correct to the	•					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Es have been received in Application rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) M Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/13/04</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/721,960

Art Unit: 3612

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 10 are incorrect as no rotation is disclosed, such movement requiring an axis or central point. The disclosure is to sliding movement along an arcuate track. See for example the non-circular nature of the disclosed track 205, precluding an axis or central point.

Claims 4 and 10 are apparently incorrect as page 4, lines 6-7 specifically state that the window can be either stowed or closed when the front roof panel is closed or vented or partially retracted, the latter two positions being opened positions.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4, 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Benedetto et al..

Art Unit: 3612

Benedetto et al. has roof panel 18 and back window 19, slidably mountable at 26a and 24a, respectively. Benedetto et al. has mechanism 22a,22b as a pair of links forming a four bar mechanism. The top portion outward rotation is seen in figure 3. The window rotates and slides (at 24a) into the stowed position.

As to claims 4 and 10, the window can be in the stowed position when the roof panel is opened.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 5-9 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 3,12-17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Webasto teaches double bulb seals. Varner, cited by applicant, shows another rear window that pivots outwardly at start of motion and is slidably mounted to the vehicle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (703) 308-2178. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,960 Page 4

Art Unit: 3612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

4/8/04

DHP